

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,823	11/21/2003	Namit Jain	O17035742001	1338
	7590 01/22/200 ERNATIONAL CORP	EXAMINER		
c/o BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER			PHAM, KHANH B	
	AN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

mn

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/718,823	JAIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Khanh B. Pham	2166				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMM CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	UNICATION. hay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•	·			
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n RCF filed 10/08/2007		•			
2a)□	_	This action is non-final.					
3)	, -						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims		·				
4)[[]	Claim(s) 1 and 3-25 is/are pending in th	e application.					
-/-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 又	5) Claim(s) 1 and 3-23 is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requiremen	t.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form I	PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/08/2007</u> .		r:				

10/718,823 Art Unit: 2166

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/08/2007 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claim lacks the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101. They are clearly not a series of steps or acts to be a process nor are they a combination of chemical

Application/Control Number:

10/718,823 Art Unit: 2166

compounds to be a composition of matter. As such, they fail to fall within a statutory category. They are, at best, functional descriptive material *per se*.

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." Both types of "descriptive material" are nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material *per se*, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759. When <u>functional</u> descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994)

Merely claiming <u>nonfunctional</u> descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer-readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, does not make it statutory. See *Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in *Benson* were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer.").

Claim 24 is considered to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; however, corresponding structure in the disclosure is not automatically and inherently limited to hardware-inclusive embodiment. On the other hand, as shown in claim 25, each of the "means" of claim 24 corresponds to "instructions", or software codes. Therefore, the

Application/Control Number:

10/718,823 Art Unit: 2166

claimed "system" lacks of hardware component to constitute a machine or manufacture and are rejected as being software per se.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. **Claim 25** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25 recites: "a computer usable medium having executable code to execute a process" and "the process comprising: instructions for" in line 2-17. It is unclear how a process can comprise instructions as claimed. It is unclear where the claimed instructions are stored and whether the instructions is the same as the executable code.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1, 3-23 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10/718,823 Art Unit: 2166

If a reference indicated as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose telephone number is (571) 272-3574 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-4116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Khanh B. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2166

January 15, 2008